

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
FILED & ENTERED  
FEB 10 2025  
CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
Central District of California  
BY bolte DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SANTA ANA DIVISION

In re:	CHAPTER 11
The Litigation Practice Group P.C.	Case No.: 8:23-bk-10571-SC Adv No: 8:23-ap-01148-SC
Debtor(s).	<b>ORDER REQUIRING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING AND EVIDENCE</b>
Richard A. Marshack, Chapter 11 Trustee,	
v.	Plaintiff(s),
JGW Solutions, LLC,	
Defendant(s).	

On November 26, 2024, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed October 14, 2024 [Dk. 28].<sup>1</sup> Appearances are as noted on the record. The Court, having considered all relevant pleadings, arguments of counsel, and

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff refiled the Motion For Summary Judgment on November 20, 2024, to correct issues with the enumeration in the table of contents [Dk. 48]. All citations and references to "Motion" are in reference to Docket 48.

1 the docket as a whole, finds good cause to require Plaintiff to file supplemental briefing  
2 and evidence.

3 In connection with the Motion, Plaintiff seeks to avoid, recover, and preserve  
4 several transfers made by Litigation Practice Group (“Debtor”) to JGW Solutions, LLC  
5 (“Defendant”). Included in the transfers Plaintiff seeks to recover is one transfer made  
6 by Maverick Management Group LLC (“Maverick”) to Defendant, totaling \$179,229.82  
7 (the “Maverick Transfer”). Defendant, in its opposition filed November 5, 2024 [Dk. 42]  
8 and during oral argument, asserts that the Motion should be denied as to the Maverick  
9 Transfer as the Maverick Transfer was paid by Maverick, not by Debtor. Although  
10 Trustee responds in the reply filed November 26, 2024 [Dk. 47] and in oral argument,  
11 that Maverick is an affiliate of Debtor and that the Maverick Transfer was made using  
12 Debtor’s funds through a Maverick account, insufficient evidence was presented to the  
13 Court to establish that there is no genuine issue of fact as to whether the Maverick  
14 Transfer involved Debtor’s funds.<sup>2</sup>

15 A court “has discretion to permit parties to submit supplemental materials in  
16 support of or in opposition to a motion for summary judgment.” *Agua Caliente Band of*  
17 *Cahuilla Indians v. Coachella Valley Water Dist.*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 225576, at \*10  
18 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2018) (citing *Savage v. Dennis Dillon Auto Park & Truck Ctr., Inc.*,  
19 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142803, at \*2 (D. Idaho Oct. 19, 2015)). Federal Rule of Civil  
20 Procedure 56, in relevant part, provides that if a party fails to properly support an  
21 assertion of fact as required by Rule 56(c), the court “may give an opportunity to  
22 properly support or address the fact” or “issue any other appropriate order.” FRCP  
23 56(e)(1), (4).

24 ///

25  
26  
27 <sup>2</sup> In support of Trustee’s argument that Maverick is an affiliate of Debtor, and that the Maverick Transfer  
28 was made using Debtor’s funds through a Maverick account, Trustee cites to the Declaration of Sarah  
Mattingly [Dk. 28-3, ¶ 13-14] and various attached exhibits thereto. The Court, however, does not find that  
the evidence provided is sufficient to establish that the at-issue funds in connection with the Maverick  
Transfer were those of Debtor.

1        As this Court favors resolution based on a complete record, and in the interests  
2 of judicial economy, the Court finds good cause to give Trustee an opportunity to  
3 properly support or address the fact of whether the Maverick Transfer involved Debtor's  
4 funds, as permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(e)(1), and orders as follows:

- 5        1. By no later than March 3, 2025, Trustee may file a supplemental brief, with  
6 supporting evidence, to support the assertion that the Maverick Transfer  
7 involved Debtor's funds.
- 8        2. By no later than March 17, 2025, Defendant may file a response to Trustee's  
9 supplemental brief, with supporting evidence.
- 10        3. By no later than March 24, 2025, Trustee may file a reply.

11        The parties are specifically warned that any supplement filed is limited in scope  
12 to the issue of whether the Maverick Transfer involved Debtor's funds. The Court, upon  
13 review of any supplemental pleadings, may set a further hearing on the Motion or may  
14 rule upon the pleadings, without further notice or hearing.

15        **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24        Date: February 10, 2025

  
25        Scott C. Clarkson  
26        United States Bankruptcy Judge  
27  
28